

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



ON

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,661	05/08/2001	Andreas Norbert Wiswesser	2562C1/294002	4386
32588	7590 05/02/2003			
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050		EXAMINER		
			ROSE, ROBERT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			3723	1,
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	1 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/851,661

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Wiswesser et al



Robert Rose 3723 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-8-01, 9-19-01, 2-3-03 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) <u>1-23, 34, 36-45, and 55-58</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-23, 34, 36-41, 43, 45, and 55-58</u> is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 42 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. U Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/851661

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed May 8, 2001.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement, filed September 19, 2001, and February 3, 2003, respectively.
- 3. Claims 24-33, 35, and 46-54 have been canceled.
- 4. Claims 1-23, 34, 36-45, and 55-58 are presented for examination.
- 5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 36 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6247998. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/851661

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 8. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being clearly anticipated by Sun et al(US 6010538). Sun et al disclose all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claim 42.

 Note the use of a light emitting diode to generate a light beam and a sensor to measure the interference signal generated by the reflection of the light from different surfaces to determine the endpoint.
- 9. Claims 1-23, 34, 36-41, 43, 45, and 55-58 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at 10. telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

April 23, 2003.

ROBERTA. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323